

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/419,305	10/15/1999	KAZUHIKO MARUTA	MARUTA=3C	- 1033
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. 624 NINTH STREET, NW SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303				L AZI
			EXAMINER	
			PROUTÝ, REBECCA E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1652 DATE MAILED: 07/30/2003	26

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application No.

09/419,305

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Office Action Summary

Maryta et al.

Rebecca Prouty

1652



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	n the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
Period for Reply	O EVOIDE 2 MONTH(C) EDOM			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET T THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	•			
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no mailing date of this communication. 	event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status				
1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 8, 200				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action	n is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	·			
4) 🗓 Claim(s) <u>1</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
5) ☐ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are a	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	er.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign price	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	been received in Application No			
application from the International Burea				
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the				
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic p				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional 15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic provisional				
	infortty under 35 0.3.C. 33 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
,	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)				

Application/Control Number: 09/419,305

Art Unit: 1652

Claim 1 is still at issue and present for examination.

Applicants' arguments filed on 5-8-03, paper No. 25, have been fully considered and are deemed to be persuasive to overcome some of the rejections previously applied. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The recitation of "not having the amino acid sequences of SEQ ID NO:1, SEQ ID NO:3, and SEQ ID NO:4" introduces new matter into the claim. While the specification clearly discloses that the invention encompasses variants of SEQ ID NO:1 having one or more amino acid changes in the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:1, there is no disclosure in the specification discussing making variants having changes specifically within SEQ ID NOS:3 and 4.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the enzyme of SEQ ID NO:1 or enzymes encoded by genes which will hybridize to SEQ ID NO:2 under specific conditions, does not reasonably

Application/Control Number: 09/419,305

Art Unit: 1652

provide enablement for any enzyme with the claimed properties.

The rejection is explained in the previous Office Action.

Applicants argue the skilled artisan could readily make variants of the enzyme of SEQ ID NO:1 by using known mutagenesis techniques to define which residues of the enzyme could be altered without affecting the claimed physicochemical properties. This is not persuasive because while such techniques would be sufficient for one of ordinary skill in the art to make and use variants with only a few substitutions by making several variants and testing for those which retain the claimed properties, such experimentation would clearly be undue for those polypeptides with greater numbers of substitutions as the likelihood of a variant sequence retaining the claimed properties of the native polypeptide decreases substantially with each additional mutation while the number of possible variants which could be made increases exponentially. It is noted that variants with only a few substitutions are clearly within the scope that has already been deemed enabled by the examiner, however the claims do not in any way limit the number of alterations that can be present such that the scope of the claim encompasses enzymes with large numbers of alterations as well. As variants which retain the claimed physicochemical properties of the native polypeptide yet have large numbers of mutations are a very minute fraction of the

Application/Control Number: 09/419,305

Art Unit: 1652

possible variants of the enzyme of SEQ ID NO:1 which could be made, the experimentation required to make and test all the possibilities would clearly be undue.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS**ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rebecca Prouty, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-4000. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 to 4:30.

Art Unit: 1652

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapu Achutamurthy, can be reached at (703) 308-3804. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Rebecca Prouty
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1652